



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,202	07/18/2000	Kenji Yamagami	16869C008600US	9713

7590 12/19/2005

Robert C Colwell
Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP
8th Floor
Two Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

HOFFMAN, BRANDON S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2136

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/618,202	YAMAGAMI ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brandon S. Hoffman	2136	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-22, 26, 27 and 30-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-22, 26, 27 and 30-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11, 13-22, 26, 27, and 30-32 are pending in this office action.

Rejections

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 9-11, 13-17, 26-30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohran (U.S. Patent No. 6,397,307) in view of Yanai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,544,347).

Regarding claim 1, Ohran teaches a method of controlling security of data in a storage system having a local disk system and a remote disk system that are coupled to at least one host computer, the method comprising:

- In the local disk system coupled to a first host computer:
 - When a write of data is to be made to **the first volume of** the local disk system retrieving **the first** encryption key (col. 11, lines 24-26 suggests to use stored encryption keys for encryption, even though the teachings of Ohran dynamically creates an encryption key);
 - Encrypting the data **using the first key** (col. 11, lines 43-45);

- Transferring the **encrypted** data to the remote disk system via a first communication link (col. 11, lines 45-47);
- Then in the remote disk system:
 - Determining an address for storage of the data in the remote disk system (col. 9, lines 29-35 and col. 10, lines 21-27);
 - Writing the data in the remote disk system (col. 9, lines 39-43 and col. 10, lines 21-27);
 - Determining whether the data is to be stored in an encrypted form (col. 11, lines 40-43 suggests that some of the data can be encrypted, but does not necessarily mean the same data has to be decrypted); and
 - If the data is to be stored in a decrypted form, decrypting and writing the data in the remote disk system (col. 11, lines 47-49); and
 - If the data is to be stored in an encrypted form, writing the data in the remote disk system without decrypting the data (col. 11, lines 40-43, the word may suggests that the data does not have to be decrypted).

Ohran does not teach **the local disk system having first and second volumes of storage, the first and second volumes associated with first and second encryption keys, respectively**, the encrypting being performed by the local disk system or the determining being performed by the remote disk system, notifying the local disk system via the first communication link that the step of writing the data is complete, wherein the local disk system is coupled to the first host computer via a

second communication link to allow the first host computer to access data stored in the local disk system, the first and second communication links being different, **wherein the remote disk system includes third and fourth volumes corresponding to the first and second volumes, respectively.**

Yanai et al. teaches the local disk system having first and second volumes of storage, the first and second volumes associated with first and second encryption keys, respectively (fig. 1, ref. num 22a, 22b, 50a, 50b), the encrypting being performed by the local disk system or the determining being performed by the remote disk system (col. 6, lines 16-37), notifying the local disk system that the step of writing the data is complete (col. 6, lines 41-46), wherein the local disk system is coupled to the first host computer via a second communication link to allow the first host computer to access data stored in the local disk system, the first and second communication links being different (fig. 1, ref. num 40 and 18), **wherein the remote disk system includes third and fourth volumes corresponding to the first and second volumes, respectively** (col. 7, lines 54-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine encrypting and decrypting by the local and remote disk system, respectively, notifying the local disk system that the step of writing the data is complete, wherein the first and second communication links are different, as taught by Yanai et al., to the method of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications

Art Unit: 2136

because the notification allows the local disk system to know that the data is synchronized between the local and remote disk system. Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al.

Regarding claim 9, Ohran teaches a method for changing an encryption key while operating a storage system having a local disk system and a remote disk system comprising:

- Storing an encryption key in a memory in the local disk system (fig. 6, ref. num 106a);
- Transmitting the encryption key to the remote disk system and storing it in a memory there via a first communication link coupling the local and remote disk systems (fig. 6, ref. num 16 and 106b);
- In the local disk system, determining a boundary for use of the encryption key (col. 11, lines 52-55 the keys are changed at every time of consolidation);
- In the remote disk system, receiving the boundary from the local disk system (fig. 16, ref. num 16);
- In both the local and the remote disk systems, determining a relationship of present operations to the boundary (fig. 2, ref. num 30, 36, and 42);

- In both the local and the remote disk system waiting for the boundary, and then changing the encryption key for data stored thereafter (fig. 2, ref. num 32 and 38 and col. 11, lines 52-55).

Ohran does not teach the encrypting being performed by the local disk system or the determining being performed by the remote disk system, the encryption key is stored in the local disk and transmitted to the remote disk and stored, wherein the local disk system is coupled to a first host computer via a second communication link that is different than the first communication link. Ohran teaches the local disk and remote disk exchange values and calculate a key (the keys being equal), which is stored in the local disk system and remote disk system.

Yanai et al. teaches the encrypting being performed by the local disk system or the determining being performed by the remote disk system (col. 6, lines 16-37), wherein the local disk system is coupled to a first host computer via a second communication link that is different than the first communication link (fig. 1, ref. num 18 and 40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine encrypting and decrypting by the local and remote disk system, respectively, altering the key exchange process to include transmitting the stored key from the local system to the remote system, instead of transmitting values to

calculate the key, wherein the first and second communication links are different, as taught by Yanai et al., with the method of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications because, as suggested on col. 11, lines 24-26 (Ohran), the keys could already be calculated and stored in the local system. This would save computation time of calculating keys by swapping values between the two systems. Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al.

Regarding claim 10, Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. teaches wherein operations before the boundary are performed using a first encryption key and operations after the boundary are performed using a second encryption key (see col. 11, lines 52-55 of Ohran).

Regarding claims 11 and 15, Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. teaches wherein the boundary is defined by counting input/output operations and using the count to define the boundary (see col. 13, lines 35-50 of Ohran uses a decided time T to decide the boundary, and only the last IO operation before a decided time T is transmitted to the remote system).

Regarding claim 13, Ohran teaches a method of controlling encryption in a storage system having a local disk system and a remote disk system comprising:

- Determining a boundary in the local disk system where encryption is to be switched to an opposite state (col. 11, lines 52-55 the keys are changed at every time of consolidation);
- In the remote disk system receiving a corresponding boundary from the remote disk system (the remote system boundary is the same place that the local system boundary is);
- In both the local and the remote disk system, determining a relationship of present operations to the boundary (fig. 2, ref. num 30, 36, and 42);
- In both the local and the remote disk system waiting for the boundary, and then changing the encryption to the opposite state (fig. 2, ref. num 32 and 38).

Ohran does not teach the determining being performed by the remote disk system, maintaining a control table in each of the local and remote disk systems, wherein the local disk system is coupled to a host computer via a first communication link, and the remote disk system is coupled to a second host computer via a second communication link, the local disk system and the remote disk system being coupled to each other via a third communication link, the third communication link being different than the first or second communication link. Ohran teaches keys (which control encryption) in each system. Also, tables containing data are well known in the art and would be an obvious addition to this system.

Yanai et al. teaches the determining being performed by the remote disk system (col. 6, lines 16-37), wherein the local disk system is coupled to a host computer via a first communication link, and the remote disk system is coupled to a second host computer via a second communication link, the local disk system and the remote disk system being coupled to each other via a third communication link, the third communication link being different than the first or second communication link (fig. 1, ref. num 18, 40, 52, and 54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine decrypting by the remote disk system, maintaining a control table in each of the local and remote disk systems, wherein the first, second, and third communication links are different, as taught by Yanai et al., with the method of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications because maintaining a control table in the local and remote disk system supplies data values to the disk systems telling them how to respond to data. Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al.

Regarding claim 14, Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. teaches wherein operations before the boundary are either encrypted or not encrypted, and operations performed after the boundary are either not encrypted or encrypted oppositely to those

operations performed before the boundary (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of Ohran, the data can be encrypted at any time and can not be encrypted anytime, it all depends on the users' data).

Regarding claims 16 and 26, Ohran teaches a method/system of controlling encryption in a storage system having a local disk system and a remote disk system comprising:

- Storing **first and second** encryption keys in a memory in the local disk system that is coupled to a host computer via a first communication link (fig. 6, ref. num 106a);
- Transmitting via a second communication link the **first and second** encryption key to the remote disk system and storing it in a memory there (fig. 6, ref. num 16 and 106b);
- Splitting the local disk system from the remote disk system to allow them to operate independently (fig. 2, the time between consolidations the local system is operated independently of the remote system); and
- Switching encryption to an opposite state from a previous state after splitting the local disk system and remote disk system (col. 11, lines 40-43, the data can be encrypted at any time and can not be encrypted anytime, it all depends on the users' data).

Ohran does not teach **the first and second encryption keys assigned to first and second volumes of the local disk system, respectively and the remote disk system including third and fourth volumes corresponding to the first and second volumes, respectively**, the splitting being performed by a first command by the local disk system or remote disk system, re-synchronizing the local disk system and the remote disk system, wherein the re-synchronizing is performed according to a second command issued by the local or remote disk system, the first and second communication links being different.

Yanai et al. teaches **the first and second encryption keys assigned to first and second volumes of the local disk system, respectively and the remote disk system including third and fourth volumes corresponding to the first and second volumes, respectively** (fig. 1, ref. num 22a, 22b, 50a, 50b), the splitting being performed by a first command by the local disk system or the remote disk system (col. 6, lines 16-37), re-synchronizing the local disk system and the remote disk system (col. 6, lines 38-51), wherein the re-synchronizing is performed according to a second command issued by the local or remote disk system (col. 7, lines 13-31), the first and second communication links being different (fig. 1, ref. num 18 and 40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine splitting by the local and remote disk system, re-synchronizing the local system and the remote system, wherein the first and second

communication links are different, as taught by Yanai et al., to the system of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications because synchronizing the data between the local and remote systems will make sure the remote system has the same data as the local system. This is important in systems where data is mirrored or backed-up. Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al.

Regarding claim 17, Ohran teaches a storage system comprising:

- A local disk system (fig. 1, ref. num 12);
 - Said local disk system being coupled to a host computer via a first communication link to enable the host computer to access said volumes (fig. 1, ref. num 20 connected to 12);
- A second communications link coupling the local disk system to the remote disk system (fig. 1, ref. num 16);
- Wherein the local disk system determines whether encryption is to be employed in the data **associated with the first volume** in the local disk system, and if so, encrypts the data to be transferred to the remote disk system **using the first key** (col. 11, lines 24-26 and 40-45 suggests that some or all of the data may be encrypted, meaning it does not have to be), and

- Wherein the remote disk system determines whether to store the data in either encrypted form or unencrypted form and stores the data in that form in the remote disk system (col. 11, lines 24-26 and 40-45 suggests that some or all of the data may be encrypted, meaning it does not have to be).

Ohran does not teach **the plurality of volumes in the local disk system including first and second volumes that are associated with first and second encryption keys, respectively**, the encrypting being performed by the local disk system, the local system or remote system including a plurality of volumes of media for storing data and notifying the local disk system that the data has been stored via the second communication link, wherein the first and second communication links are different.

Yanai et al. teaches **the plurality of volumes in the local disk system including first and second volumes that are associated with first and second encryption keys, respectively** (fig. 1, ref. num 22a, 22b, 50a, 50b), the encrypting being performed by the local disk system (col. 6, lines 16-37), the local system and remote system including a plurality of volumes of media for storing data (fig. 1, ref. num 20 and 48) and notifying the local disk system that the data has been stored via the second communication link (col. 6, lines 41-46), wherein the first and second communication links are different (fig. 1, ref. num 18 and 40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine encrypting by the local disk system, the local system including a plurality of volumes of media for storing data and notifying the local disk system that the data has been stored, wherein the first and second communication links are different, as taught by Yanai et al., to the system of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications because the plurality of volumes for storing data allows the local disk system to contain a volume that is local only to it and volumes that are accessible by the remote system (col. 5, lines 11-34) and the notification allows the local disk system to know that the data is synchronized between the local and remote disk system. Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al.

Regarding claim 27, Ohran teaches a method of controlling security of data in a storage system having a local disk system and a remote disk system comprising:

- In the local disk system:
 - Receiving a data update request from a host computer connected to the local disk system wherein said data update request includes a location of the **first volume** of the local disk system, the host computer being connected to the local disk via a first communication link (col. 5, lines 39-52, the local disk system is required to determine update times);

- Encrypting the data associated with the **first volume** of the local disk system **using the first key** (col. 11, lines 43-45);
 - Transferring the encrypted data to the remote disk system (col. 11, lines 45-47);
- Then in the remote disk system:
 - Decrypting the data using the **first key** (col. 11, lines 47-49); and
 - Writing the decrypted data into a **third volume** of the remote disk system (col. 9, lines 39-43 and col. 10, lines 21-27) by the remote disk system ().

Ohran does not teach **the local disk system including first and second volumes that are assigned first and second encryption keys, respectively**, the encrypting being performed by the local disk system or the decrypting being performed by the remote disk system or transmitting via a link from the local disk system, a first storage area of the local disk system or a second storage area of the remote disk system, wherein the first and second communication links are different.

Yanai et al. teaches **the local disk system including first and second volumes that are assigned first and second encryption keys, respectively** (fig. 1, ref. num 22a, 22b, 50a, 50b), the encrypting being performed by the local disk system or the decrypting being performed by the remote disk system (col. 6, lines 16-37), transmitting via a link from the local disk system, a first storage area of the local disk system (fig. 1, ref. num 22a) and a second storage area of the remote disk system (fig.

1, ref. num 50a), wherein the first and second communication links are different (fig. 1, ref. num 18 and 40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine encrypting and decrypting by the local and remote disk system, respectively, a first storage area of the local disk system and a second storage area of a remote disk system, wherein the first and second communication links are different, as taught by Yanai et al., to the method of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications because the first and second storage areas allow the local and remote disk system to contain separate portions that are local only and accessible by the other disk system (col. 5, lines 11-34). Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al.

Regarding claim 28, the combination of Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. teaches wherein the first storage area comprises at least a volume of the local disk system and the second storage area comprises at least a volume of the remote disk system (see fig. 1, ref. num 20 and 48 of Yanai et al.).

Regarding claim 29, the combination of Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. teaches wherein the first portion comprises a group of volumes of the local disk system

(fig. 1, ref. num 22a-c of Yanai et al.), and the second portion comprises a group of volumes of the remote disk system (see fig. 1, ref. num 50a-c of Yanai et al.).

Regarding claim 30, Ohran teaches a storage system comprising:

- A local disk system (fig. 1, ref. num 12),
- A remote disk system (fig. 1, ref. num 14);
- A first computer program operating on the local system to retrieve selected data from storage on the local system, and encrypt the selected data using an encryption key (col. 11, lines 43-45);
- Wherein the local disk system retrieves selected data from **the first volume** in the local disk system, encrypts the selected data using **the first key**, and transmits the encrypted data to the remote disk system (fig. 1, ref. num 16, 12, and 20); and
- Wherein the remote disk system decrypts the encrypted data received from the local disk system via the second communications link and store the data in unencrypted form in **the third volume** in the remote disk system (col. 11, lines 47-49).

Ohran does not teach the local disk system encrypting and the remote disk system decrypting, the local and remote disk system including **first and second** storage volumes for storing data, wherein the local disk system is connected to a host computer via a first communication link; a second communications link coupling the

local disk system to the remote disk system, the first and second communication links being different.

Yanai et al. teaches the local disk system encrypting and the remote disk system decrypting (col. 6, lines 16-37), the local and remote system including **first and second storage volumes and third and fourth** for storing data, **the first and second volumes being assigned with first and second encryption keys, respectively** (fig. 1, ref. num 222a, 22b, 50a, 50b), wherein the local disk system is connected to a host computer via a first communication link (fig. 1, ref. num 18); a second communications link coupling the local disk system to the remote disk system, the first and second communication links being different (fig. 1, ref. num 40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine encrypting and decrypting via the local and remote disk system, respectively, the local and remote system including a plurality of volumes of media for storing data, wherein the first and second communication links are different, as taught by Yanai et al., to the system of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications because the plurality of volumes for storing data allow each disk system to contain a volume that is local only to their system and volumes that are accessible by the other (local/remote) system (col. 5, lines 11-34). Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and

Art Unit: 2136

decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al. Encryption and decryption are desirable in a system where data is stored and transferred over a communication link.

Regarding claim 32, Ohran teaches a method of controlling security of data in a disk system coupled to a host computer and a remote storage system, the method comprising:

- At the disk system, receiving data to be stored from the host computer via a first communication link, so that the data can be stored in a given area in the disk system (fig. 1, ref. num 20 connected to 12);
- Encrypting the data received from the host computer **using the first or second key** according to **the location of the given area** (col. 11, lines 43-45 and col. 11, lines 24-26 suggests to use stored encryption keys for encryption, even though the teachings of Ohran dynamically creates an encryption key); and
- Transferring the encrypted data to the remote storage system via a second communication link by the disk system, so that the remote storage system can store the data therein (fig. 6, ref. num 16 and 106b).

Ohran does not teach **the disk system including first and second volumes that are assigned first and second encryption keys, respectively, wherein the first key is used if the given area is in the first volume and the second key is used if the given area is in the second volume, and the disk system encrypting the data.**

Yanai et al. teaches the disk system including first and second volumes that are assigned first and second encryption keys, respectively, wherein the first key is used if the given area is in the first volume and the second key is used if the given area is in the second volume (fig. 1, ref. num 22a, 22b, 50a, 50b), and the disk system encrypting the data (col. 6, lines 16-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the disk system encrypting the data, as taught by Yanai et al., to the method of Ohran. It would have been obvious for such modifications because Yanai et al. discloses the local and remote disk systems as the devices performing all the processing (backup, mirroring, etc.). Therefore, with the combination of Yanai et al. with Ohran, encryption and decryption is included in the list of processes performed by Yanai et al. Encryption and decryption are desirable in a system where data is stored and transferred over a communication link.

Claims 2-8, 18-22, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohran (USPN '307) in view of Yanai et al. (USPN '347), and further in view of Jacobson (U.S. Patent No. 5,548,649).

Regarding claims 2, 18, and 31, Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. teaches a method of controlling security of data in a storage system having a local disk system

and a remote disk system that are coupled to at least one host computer, the method comprising:

- In the local disk system coupled to a first host computer:
 - When a write of data is to be made to the local disk system retrieving the first encryption key (see col. 11, lines 24-26 of Ohran suggests to use stored encryption keys for encryption, even though the teachings of Ohran dynamically creates an encryption key);
 - Encrypting the data (see col. 11, lines 43-45 of Ohran);
 - Transferring the encrypted data to the remote disk system via a first communication link (see col. 11, lines 45-47 of Ohran);
- Then in the remote disk system:
 - Determining an address for storage of the data in the remote disk system (see col. 9, lines 29-35 and col. 10, lines 21-27 of Ohran);
 - Determining whether the data is to be stored in an encrypted form (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of Ohran suggests that some of the data can be encrypted, but does not necessarily mean the same data has to be decrypted); and
 - If the data is to be stored in a decrypted form, decrypting and writing the data in the remote disk system (see col. 11, lines 47-49 of Ohran); and
 - If the data is to be stored in an encrypted form, writing the data in the remote disk system without decrypting the data (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of

Ohran, the word may suggests that the data does not have to be decrypted),

- notifying the local disk system that the step of writing the data is complete (see col. 6, lines 41-46 of Yanai et al.),
- Wherein the local disk system is coupled to the first host computer via a second communication link to allow the first host computer to access data stored in the local disk system, the first and second communication links being different (see fig. 1, ref. num 40 and 18 of Yanai et al.),
- Wherein the data transfer between the local disk system and the remote disk system occurring via a communication link that couples the local disk system to the remote disk system, so that the local disk system may send the data to the remote disk system without direct involvement from the host computer (see fig. 1, ref. num 16 of Ohran, col. 5, lines 53-63 of Yanai et al., and col. 6, lines 16-37 of Yanai et al.),
- Wherein the list of encryption keys includes first and second keys, the first key being assigned to a first set of volumes in the local disk system, and the second key being assigned to a second set of volumes in the local disk system, each of the first and second set of volumes including one or more volumes (see col. 11, lines 53-55 of Ohran),
- Wherein the remote disk system is coupled to a second host computer (see fig. 1, ref. num 52 and 54 of Yanai et al.).

However, the combination of Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. does not teach further comprising a step of maintaining an encryption control table on the local disk system, the encryption control table including a list of encryption keys for selected volumes of the local and the remote disk system, wherein the retrieving step includes accessing the encryption control table to obtain an appropriate encryption key, where the data are encrypted using the first key if the data to be transferred to the remote disk system are associated with the first set of volumes and encrypted using the second key if the data to be transferred to the remote disk system are associated with the second set of volumes.

Jacobson teaches further comprising a step of maintaining an encryption control table on the local disk system (fig. 2, ref. num 232), the encryption control table including a list of encryption keys for selected volumes of the local and the remote disk system (fig. 10), wherein the retrieving step includes accessing the encryption control table to obtain an appropriate encryption key, where the data are encrypted using the first key if the data to be transferred to the remote disk system are associated with the first set of volumes and encrypted using the second key if the data to be transferred to the remote disk system are associated with the second set of volumes (fig. 10, separate keys for separate areas of encryption).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine maintaining an encryption control table on the local

disk system wherein the table includes a list of encryption keys for selected volumes of the local and remote disk system, as taught by Jacobson, to the system of Ohran as modified by Yanai et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because the table provides a list of keys to use for encryption and decryption for the local remote system. This new system uses a table of keys to determine how and when to encrypt and decrypt the data in the local and remote system.

Regarding claims 3 and 19, the combination of Ohran and Yanai et al. as modified by Jacobson teaches wherein the list of encryption keys further includes information relating to the use and non-use of encryption on the local disk system (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of Ohran suggests that encryption/decryption can occur, but does not have to occur).

Regarding claims 4 and 20, the combination of Ohran and Yanai et al. as modified by Jacobson teaches wherein the list of encryption keys further includes information relating to the use and non-use of encryption on the remote disk system (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of Ohran).

Regarding claims 5 and 21, the combination of Ohran and Yanai et al. as modified by Jacobson teaches wherein the encryption key is applicable to less than all of the storage on the local disk system (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of Ohran shows that some, less than all, of the data is encrypted).

Regarding claims 6 and 22, the combination of Ohran and Yanai et al. as modified by Jacobson teaches wherein the encryption key is applicable to less than all of the storage on the remote disk system (see col. 11, lines 43 of Ohran shows that some, less than all, of the data is decrypted).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Ohran and Yanai et al. as modified by Jacobson teaches wherein the encryption key is applicable to at least one disk on the local disk system (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of Ohran and fig. 1, ref. num 20 of Yanai et al. shows that the different volumes would not have to be encrypted, such as the local volume, because transmission does not occur from the local volume).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Ohran and Yanai et al. as modified by Jacobson teaches wherein the encryption key is applicable to at least one disk on the remote disk system (see col. 11, lines 40-43 of Ohran and fig. 1, ref. num 48 of Yanai et al. shows that the different volumes would not have to be decrypted, such as the local volume, because transmission does not occur to the local volume).

Conclusion

4. For all of the above rejections, figure 1, reference numbers 22a, 22b, 50a, and 50b of Yanai et al. were cited for teaching first and second volumes in the local disk system and third and fourth volumes in the remote disk system. Column 7, lines 54-65

of Yanai et al., shows that data from the primary storage volume of the local disk gets copied to the primary storage volume of the remote disk. Similarly, data from the secondary storage volume of the local disk gets copied to the secondary storage volume of the remote disk. Ohran discloses encrypting the data using a key in figure 6. The combination of Ohran's encryption with Yanai et al.'s primary and secondary volumes, would produce a system that has at least two volumes on the local disk and the remote disk that uses encryption to encrypt the data. The only limitation that is lacking is that a first key is used on the first volume and a second key is used on the second volume. It would have been obvious to use two separate keys for the two separate volumes because if one volume were to be compromised (say a volume containing information for Bank A), then the second volume would remain secure (Bank B's data). If only one key were used, both Bank A and Bank B would be at risk.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2136

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Branda Hef

BH

Al
Primary Examiner
AU2131
12/14/05